## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Office Action mailed June 23, 2008 has been received and the Examiner's comments carefully reviewed. Claims 1-40 are rejected. No claims have been amended. For at least the following reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance.

## Claim Rejections

Claims 1-11, 14, and 16-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiraishi US Patent 6,809,724 in view of Barnett US Patent 7,174,5 17, further in view of Crandall US Patent 5,970,23 1. Claims 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiraishi US Patent 6,809,724 further in view of Barnett US Patent 7,174,5 17 further in view of Crandall US Patent 5,970,23 1 further in view of Beaton US Patent 6,037,937. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiraishi US Patent 6,809,724 in view Barnett US Patent 7,174,5 17 further in view of Crandall US Patent 5,970,231 further in view of Will US Patent 5,825,353. The Applicants respectfully disagree.

Although the amendment filed on January 18, 2008 has been entered, the pending Office Action mailed June 23, 2008 address the claims as they stood before the amendment. The Office Action fails to address all of the limitations that were added by the Applicant in the amendment filed January 18, 2008. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that a proper, non-final Office Action be issued that addresses the amended cliams.

For example, the Office Action states "As per claim 1, Shiraishi teaches a method for navigating stored content on an electronic device that includes a display and an interface selection device, wherein the stored content is associated with a schedule of a calendar, the method comprising: . . . However, Shiraishi fails to teach selecting a current operating mode for a calendar channel when the calendar channel is activated, wherein available operating modes within the calendar channel comprise an appointment view operating mode and an event view operating mode, and wherein one of the available operating modes is automatically selected as a default for the current operating mode when the calendar channel is activated, wherein each

event that is accessible from the event view operating mode has a corresponding event time criteria, and wherein each appointment that is accessible from the appointment view operating mode has a corresponding appointment time criteria, and wherein events are maintained separate from appointments; accessing a schedule when the appointment view operating mode is activated, wherein the schedule includes appointments, wherein each appointment corresponds to a single entry in the schedule." (Office Action, p. 2-3).

The Office Action goes on to state "Barnett teaches selecting a current operating mode for a calendar channel when the calendar channel is activated, wherein available operating modes within the calendar channel comprise an appointment view operating mode and an event view operating mode, (see Barnett, column 15, lines 1-25) and wherein one of the available operating modes is automatically selected as a default for the current operating mode when the calendar channel is activated, (see Barnett 16, lines 15-40) wherein each event that is accessible from the event view operating mode has a corresponding event time criteria, and wherein each appointment that is accessible from the appointment view operating mode has a corresponding appointment time criteria, (see Barnett, column 13, lines 30-70) and wherein events are maintained separate from appointments; (see Barnett, column 12, lines 25-60) accessing a schedule when the appointment view operating mode is activated, wherein the schedule includes appointments, wherein each appointment corresponds to a single entry in the schedule; (see Barnett; column 15, lines 15-25)." (Office Action, p. 3)

The claim language that the Office Action is addressing is that of the claims as they stood before the last amendment. As Claim 1 is currently pending, the preamble states "A method for processing and navigating stored content on a small form factor wireless electronic device with limited processing functionality that includes a display and an interface selection device, wherein the stored content was previously received by a wireless communication from a remote source and is associated with a schedule of a calendar, the method comprising."

Claim 1 goes on to recite in part "selecting a current operating mode for a calendar channel on the small form factor wireless electronic device when the calendar channel is activated, wherein the calendar channel utilizes the stored content previously received by the

wireless communication from the remote source, wherein available operating modes within the calendar channel comprise an appointment view operating mode and an event view operating mode, and wherein one of the available operating modes is automatically selected as a default for the current operating mode when the calendar channel is activated, wherein each event that is accessible from the event view operating mode has a corresponding event time criteria, and wherein each appointment that is accessible from the appointment view operating mode has a corresponding appointment time criteria, and wherein events are maintained separate from appointments; accessing a schedule on the small form factor wireless electronic device when the appointment view operating mode is activated, wherein the schedule includes appointments, wherein each appointment corresponds to a single entry in the schedule from the stored content previously received by the wireless communication from the remote source."

The bolded limitations are not addressed by the Office Action. Similarly, the Office Action quotes from the preamble and other limitations as they stood before the last amendment. It appears as though the current Office Action simply re-hashes the prior Office Action and makes no attempt to map each of the limitations of the claim as it now stands to the cited art.

The only new limitation the Office Action address is that of a portable device. The other amendments to the claims have not yet been addressed.

For example, the limitation "locally generating a current view on the small form factor wireless electronic device from the stored content previously received by the wireless communication from the remote source" is mentioned no where in the current Office Action. The Office Action completely omits discussion of this, and many other limitations.

These and other omissions are found throughout the Office Action and through each claim set. Without proper citations to particular sections of particular references, the Applicants are unable to respond to the rejections. As this Office Action does not address the claims as they presently stand, the Applicants must request that a proper, non-final Office Action that addresses each limitation of the currently pending claims be issued.

## Conclusion

In view of the foregoing remarks, all pending claims are believed to be allowable and the application is in condition for allowance. Therefore, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any further issues regarding this application, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney for the applicant at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P. O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903

27488

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Benj/amin J.(Bye/r

Registration No. 62,106